



eurotrader

EXECUTION QUALITY SUMMARY STATEMENTS 2018

RTS 28

1. Introduction

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 28(6) of the Investment Services and Activities and Regulated Markets Law of 2017 (the “Law”) and Article 65(6) of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/565 (the “Regulation”), Eurotrade Investments RGB Ltd (the “Company”) must summarize and make public, on an annual basis, for each class of financial instruments, the top five execution venues, in terms of trading volumes, where client orders were executed in the preceding year, as well as information on the quality of execution obtained.

2. Top-five execution venues for 2018 (retail clients)

Class of Instrument	Contracts for Difference (CFDs)				
Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	N				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
AMB Prime Ltd LEI: 21380048PC82MHHE1M07	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
N/A					
N/A					
N/A					
N/A					

3. Conclusions drawn from the detailed monitoring of the quality of execution obtained

The below are applicable for the financial instruments offered, that is, CFDs.

3.1. Execution factors

When executing clients’ orders the Company takes into consideration the following execution factors:

- a) Price;
- b) Cost;
- c) Speed of execution;
- d) Likelihood of execution;
- e) Likelihood of settlement;
- f) Size of Order;
- g) Nature of Order; and
- h) Market Impact.

The Company acted as in accordance with its Order Execution Policy and executed trade orders received by taking into consideration all of the above factors giving, however, primary consideration to price and cost.

3.2. Close links / conflicts of interest / common ownership

The Company does not have any close links, conflicts of interests or common ownerships with the execution venue used to execute orders.

3.3. Arrangements with execution venues regarding inducements

There are no specific arrangements with the execution venue used regarding payments made or received, discounts, remuneration, rebates, non-monetary benefits or other incentives that may influence the Company to use that execution venue over another.

3.4. Change of execution venues

During 2018 the Company did not enter into any new agreement or terminated any current agreement.

3.5. Order execution per client categorization

During 2018 the Company executed orders only for retail clients (no professional clients were onboarded) and as such the Company's order execution was the same for all clients.

3.6. Precedence of other criteria over price and cost

As noted in Section 3.1. above, the company acted by giving prime consideration to price and cost when executing clients' orders.

3.7. Data analysis

Since the Company executed very few transactions in 2018, it did not use any RTS 27 or RTS 28 reports produced by execution venues or brokers during 2018.

3.8. Usage of output of a consolidated tape provider

The Company has not used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU ("MiFID II").